| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 10/691,843 | CHEN ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | David S. Blum | 2813 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u> | |
| (1) <u>David S. Blum</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Yingsheng Tung. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 28 February 2005 | Time: <u>2:57 <i>PM</i></u> | • |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| | | · |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Claims 1-17 canceled as a non-elected invention | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | • | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| DAVID BLUM | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |